'Surface' Element on the Light Cone

EGON MARX

Harry Diamond Laboratories, Electromagnetic Effects Laboratory, Adelphi, Maryland 20783

Received: 16 September 1974

Abstract

We find an explicit form for the vector 'surface' element on the light cone in Minkowski space by a limiting process from that of a space-like pseudosphere. We use it to discuss more precisely the confinement of the radiation emitted by a charged particle between two light cones.

1. Introduction

A three-dimensional 'surface' element in Minkowski space is a four-vector $d\sigma_{\mu}$, which usually can be written in the form

$$d\sigma_{\mu} = n_{\mu} d\sigma \tag{1.1}$$

where n_{μ} is the unit normal to the surface. However, on the light cone, or at points on other surfaces where the normal is a null vector, such a unit vector does not exist (the components tend to infinity) and $d\sigma$ vanishes (Synge, 1956; Rohrlich, 1965), but $d\sigma_{\mu}$ is finite.

In order to give a precise definition to the energy and momentum of the radiation emitted by an accelerated point charge for a segment of its world line (Schild, 1960), it has been found necessary to show that

$$\lim_{\rho \to \infty} \int_{\sigma_L} \Theta_{\mu\nu} d\sigma_{\mu} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetrized stress-energy tensor for the electromagnetic field, σ_L is a band on a light cone, and the limit $\rho \to \infty$ indicates that the spatial dimensions tend to infinity. To discuss this integral in more detail, it is useful to have an explicit expression for $d\sigma_{\mu}$ that we derive in Section 2 by a limiting process from $d\sigma_{\mu}$ for a space-like pseudosphere. In Section 3 we show that the integral actually vanishes.

^{© 1975} Plenum Publishing Corporation. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher.

EGON MARX

We use the time-favoring metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ whose non-zero components are

$$g_{00} = -g_{11} = -g_{22} = -g_{33} = 1 \tag{1.3}$$

the modified summation convention for repeated Greek sub-indices

$$a \cdot b = a_{\mu}b_{\mu} = a_{0}b_{0} - a \cdot b = a_{0}b_{0} - a_{1}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2} - a_{3}b_{3}$$
 (1.4)

and we set the speed of light c = 1.

2. Surface Element on the Light Cone

We first determine the surface element on the space-like pseudosphere with origin at ξ and radius λ

$$(x-\xi)^2 = \lambda^2 \tag{2.1}$$

and then we let the (real) constant λ go to zero. The unit normal at a point x is given by

$$n_{\mu} = (x_{\mu} - \xi_{\mu})/\lambda \tag{2.2}$$

and the vector surface element is given by

$$d\sigma_{\mu} = n_{\mu} \, d\sigma \tag{2.3}$$

In order to determine $d\sigma$, we introduce curvilinear coordinates on the pseudosphere, and we are careful to choose them in such a way that they will still be useful when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We take

$$x_0 = \xi_0 + \eta \tag{2.4}$$

$$x_1 = \xi_1 + (\eta^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \sin \theta \, \cos \phi \tag{2.5}$$

$$x_2 = \xi_2 + (\eta^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \sin \theta \sin \phi$$
 (2.6)

$$x_3 = \xi_3 + (\eta^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \cos \theta \tag{2.7}$$

and, calculating the metric element induced in this space by the Minkowski metric, we find

$$ds^{2} = dx_{\mu} dx_{\mu} = [\lambda^{2}/(\eta^{2} - \lambda^{2})] d\eta^{2} - (\eta^{2} - \lambda^{2})(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(2.8)

hence the surface element is

$$d\sigma = \lambda (\eta^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \sin \theta \, d\mu \, d\theta \, d\phi \tag{2.9}$$

When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the metric becomes singular, as expected, and $d\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Nevertheless, the vector surface element

$$d\sigma_{\mu} = (x_{\mu} - \xi_{\mu})(\eta^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \sin \theta \, d\eta \, d\theta \, d\phi$$
(2.10)

remains finite in this limit, and can be used in the calculations of the next section. We can also compute the surface element directly from

$$d\sigma_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial \phi} d\eta \, d\theta \, d\phi \tag{2.11}$$

150

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ is the completely antisymmetric numerical tensor (its components are 0, ±1) and the x_{ν} are given by equations (2.4) through (2.7) with λ set equal to zero. Both methods give the same result for the metric (1.3), but they are not equivalent in a general case.

3. Integral Over the Light Cone

We consider the radiation emitted by a point source of prescribed trajectory in Minkowski space given by the parametric equations

$$\xi_{\mu} = \xi_{\mu}(\tau) \tag{3.1}$$

where we choose the parameter τ to be the proper time, so that the velocity $u_{\mu} = d\xi_{\mu}/d\tau$ satisfies $u^2 = 1$. The stress-energy tensor is (Schild, 1960)

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2\epsilon_0} \left\{ \frac{\left[(1-R.w)^2 + w^2(R.u)^2 \right] R_{\mu}R_{\nu}}{(R.u)^6} - \frac{(1-R.w)(u_{\mu}R_{\nu} + u_{\nu}R_{\mu})}{(R.u)^5} - \frac{w_{\mu}R_{\nu} + w_{\nu}R_{\mu}}{(R.u)^4} \right\} - \frac{e^2}{32\pi^2\epsilon_0} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{(R.u)^4}$$
(3.2)

where w_{μ} is the acceleration $du_{\mu}/d\tau$

$$R_{\mu} = x_{\mu} - \xi_{\mu} \tag{3.3}$$

and τ is determined as a function of x by

$$R^2 = 0, \qquad R_0 > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

that is, x is on the forward light cone with vertex at $\xi(\tau)$. Equation (2.10) gives the surface element on the light cone

$$d\sigma_{\mu} = \mathbf{R}_{\mu}\eta\sin\theta \,d\eta\,d\theta\,d\phi \tag{3.5}$$

Then, to show that the radiation remains confined between light cones, we have to prove the relation (1.2). We use the fact that R is a null vector to obtain

$$\int_{\sigma_L} \Theta_{\rho\nu} \, d\sigma_{\mu} = \frac{e^2}{32\pi^2 \epsilon_0} \int_{\sigma_L} \frac{d\sigma_{\nu}}{(R \cdot u)^4} \tag{3.6}$$

we see that only terms of the order of ρ^{-4} survive in $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, where $\rho = R \cdot u$. If the width of the band σ_L , or the range of integration over η , remains finite as $\rho \to \infty$, the integral goes to zero as ρ^{-2} ; on the other hand, if this width increases as ρ does, the integral goes to zero as ρ^{-1} only, which is Schild's result.

EGON MARX

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr. P. G. Bergmann for useful comments.

References

- Rohrlich, F. (1965). *Classical Charged Particles*, Appendix 1, p. 282. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, Massachusetts.
- Schild, A. (1960). Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1, 127. See also Robrlich (1965), Sec. 5-1, pp. 106 ff. A more detailed discussion and helpful figures are found in these references.

Synge, J. L. (1956). *Relativity: The Special Theory*, Appendix D. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.